

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.07.2022  
CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. VAIDYANATHAN  
AND  
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA

W.P. No. 13830 of 2021

R. Chinnakannan

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Adjudicating Authority,  
Prevention of Money Laundering Act,  
Room No.26, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Jeevan Dheep Building,  
Parliament Street,  
New Delhi - 110 001.

2. The Deputy Director,  
MBZO-I, Directorate of Enforcement,  
Kaiser-I-Hind Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor,  
Currimbhoy Road, Ballard Estate,  
Mumbai -400 001.

...Respondents

Prayer: Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issue of a Writ of Certiorari to call for records of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in PAO No.10/2019 dated 16.08.2019 read with the order dated 07.02.2020 in OC No. 1192/2019 passed by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner :: Mr.Nithyaesh Natraj for  
Mr.S. Ravi

For Respondents:: Mr.Rajnish Pathiyil,  
Special Public Prosecutor  
(Enforcement Directorate) assisted by  
Mr.N. Ramesh,  
Special Public Prosecutor  
for R2

O R D E R

S. Vaidyanathan, J.  
and  
A.D. Jagadish Chandira, J..

When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that pursuant to the orders of this Court dated 10.12.2021 and 22.04.2022, the entire amount has been deposited. Mr. Rajnish Pathiyil, learned

counsel appearing for the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent would submit that after the entire amount has been secured, the attachment made on petitioner's properties has been lifted. In this regard, the proceedings of Directorate of Enforcement dated 22.07.2022 addressed to the Joint Sub Registrar I, Chennai South Joint I, SIDCO Electronics Complex, 3<sup>rd</sup> Phase, Thiru Vi Ka Industrial Estate, SIDCO Industrial Estate, Guindy, Chennai, has been produced before this Court.

2. Though the counsel representing IFIN would state that the properties have been mortgaged to them and that they have got claim over the properties and on the deposit made pursuant to the orders of this Court, we are not inclined to render any finding on the submission made by the learned counsel representing IFIN as IFIN is not a party to the proceedings. However, liberty is given to them to work out their remedy as regards the deposited amount and also, in case, there is any mortgage over the properties.

3. In view of the subsequent development, more so, the directions in paragraph Nos. 10 and 11 of the order dated 10.12.2021 and the subsequent order dated 22.04.2022 having been complied with, we find that nothing survives for adjudication in the writ petition and the writ petition is closed. No costs.

s/d-

Assistant Registrar(CS-II)

True Copy

*M. Thangaraj*  
Sub-Assistant Registrar  
3/8/2022

nv  
To

1. The Adjudicating Authority,  
Prevention of Money Laundering Act,  
Room No.26, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Jeevan Dheep Building,  
Parliament Street,  
New Delhi - 110 001.
2. The Deputy Director,  
MBZO-I, Directorate of Enforcement,  
Kaiser-I-Hind Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor,  
Currimbhoy Road, Ballard Estate,  
Mumbai -400 001.

+2cc to M/s.Nithyaesh & Vaibhav, Advocate, S.R.No.50944

+1cc to Mr.Rajnish Pathiyil, Advocate, S.R.No.51248

W.P. No. 13830 of 2021

AD(CO)

KKV/03/08/2022

W.P. No. 13830 of 2021

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE

MADRAS

S.R. No. 50944

Carbon Copy Application

made 27/07/2022

Application Returned.....20

Application Represented.....20

Copy made ready 03/08/2022

Copy delivered 03/08/2022

A3/8)m  
Section Officer  
Current Section